IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

WEDNESDAY, THE 5TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 14TH BHADRA 1929

WP(C).No. 24929 of 2007(P)

PETITIONER:

UDAYAKUMAR, S/O.KRISHNAN,
PERUVAYIL, AYYAKKAD.

BY ADV. SRI.G.HARIHARAN
SRI.PRAVEEN.H.

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
ELECTRICAL SUB DIVISION, (KSEB),
VADAKKANCHERRY, PALAKKAD DISTRICT.

2. ADDITIONAL DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
COLLECTORATE, CIVIL STATION,
PALAKKAD.

3. VELLACHI, W/O.VELAYUDHAN,
MOORANKUNNU HOUSE, VADAKKANCHERRY,
PALAKKAD DISTRICT.

R1 BY SC SRI. P.P. THAJUDEEN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 05/09/2007 THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR,J.

W.P.C. NO. 24929 OF 2007

Dated this the 5th day of September, 2007

JUDGMENT

Petitioner is challenging drawal of electric line over his property for giving connection to the third respondent. Standing counsel for KSEB produced Addl. District Magistrate's order approving the proposal and also the sketch showing the way the line passes over petitioner's property. It is seen that one post is to be erected in the petitioner's property at "A1" and from there line will be drawn to the post "A2" which is in the property of another person. The others over whose properties the line passes have given consent. On going through the sketch I feel line can be drawn through the boundary of the petitioner's property, if the post is shifted from "A1" to the boundary. If required relative changes can be made with regard to position of other electric posts about which land owners do not have any objection at all. In the circumstances, W.P. is disposed of directing the first respondent to draw line through the boundary of petitioner's property by installing new posts or by shifting the existing posts suitably.

Connection in any case will be given to the beneficiary without any delay.

(C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR)
Judge